

# Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Agenda  
Item No.

Report of Corporate Director for Place  
to  
**Traffic & Parking Working Party and  
Cabinet Committee**  
on  
**12<sup>th</sup> September 2013**

Report prepared by: Cheryl Hindle-Terry  
Team Leader, Parking, Traffic Management and Road Safety

---

**Requests for New or Amended Waiting Restrictions**  
**Portfolio Holder – Councillor Tony Cox**  
***A Part 1 Public Agenda Item***

---

**1. Purpose of Report**

- 1.1 For the Traffic and Parking Working Party and the Cabinet Committee to authorise the advertisement of the amendments and/or new restrictions in accordance with the statutory processes.

**2. Recommendation**

**2.1. That the Traffic and Parking Working Party and the Cabinet Committee:-**

- a) Consider the requests to advertise the requisite Traffic Regulation Orders as shown in appendix 1;**
- b) If approved, further agree that in the event of there being no objections to the proposals, the Traffic Regulation Order be confirmed;**
- c) Note that all unresolved objections will be referred to the Traffic and Parking Working Party for consideration.**

**3. Background**

- 3.1 Requests for new or amendments to existing waiting restrictions are regularly received from residents and the businesses.
- 3.2 All requests are assessed and investigated against the agreed criteria contained in Appendix 1 to this report which was approved by the Working Party and the Cabinet Committee at their meeting in July 2011. All new requests are considered against this criterion and the officer recommendations are based on this for the committee's consideration.
- 3.3 Members are asked to note that in view of the increased numbers of such requests, officers will bring back six monthly reporting delivery progress.

#### **4. Other Options**

- 4.1 Each request needs to be considered on individual merit and their impact on public safety, traffic flows or parking. Members may consider taking no further action if they feel it is appropriate.

#### **5. Reasons for Recommendations**

- 5.1 Where recommended the objective is to mitigate for likelihood of traffic flows being impeded, to improve safety or increase parking availability.

#### **6. Corporate Implications**

##### 6.1 *Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities*

- 6.1.1 Ensure the traffic network is effectively and safely managed.

##### 6.2 *Financial Implications*

- 6.2.1 Where recommended, the source of funding and other financial implications are highlighted as appropriate.

##### 6.3 *Legal Implications*

- 6.3.1 The formal statutory consultative process will be completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation where applicable.

##### 6.4 *People Implications*

- 6.4.1 Staff time will be prioritised as needed to investigate, organise the advertisement procedures and monitor the progress of the proposals based on the committee priorities.

##### 6.5 *Property Implications*

- 6.5.1 None

##### 6.6 *Consultation*

- 6.6.1 Formal consultation will be undertaken including advertisement of the proposal in the local press and on the street as appropriate

##### 6.7 *Equalities and Diversity Implications*

- 6.7.1 Neutral

##### 6.8 *Risk Assessment*

- 6.8.1 Neutral

##### 6.9 *Value for Money*

- 6.9.1 All works resulting from the scheme design are to be undertaken by term contractors appointed through a competitive tendering process.

##### 6.10 *Community Safety Implications*

- 6.10.1 All proposals are designed to maximise community safety through design, implementation and monitoring.

6.11 *Environmental Impact*

6.11.1 All proposals are designed and implemented to ensure relevant environmental benefits are attained through the use of appropriate materials and electrical equipment to save energy and contribute towards the Carbon Reduction targets where appropriate.

**7. Background papers**

Nil

**8. Appendices**

Appendix 1 – List of requests and comments

## APPENDIX 1 – WAITING RESTRICTIONS REQUESTS

### AGREED CRITERIA FOR WAITING RESTRICTIONS( JULY 2011)

- (a) Such restrictions may only be considered along roads with road classification including and above local distributor routes, as defined in Appendix 2 of the report (as taken from the Local Transport Plan);
- (b) There is demonstrable evidence through accident analysis that there have been at least 3 personal injury accidents during the last three years resulting from adverse and/or indiscriminate parking in the vicinity.
- (c) Waiting and loading restrictions may not be introduced in isolated residential streets unless there are pedestrian and traffic safety issues demonstrated through the accident statistics (as in (b) above).
- (d) Where high traffic volume and flow is affected by parked vehicles.
- (e) The location is a junction.

Location	Request Details	Criteria Points	Officer comments
Lundy Close	Junction with Western Approaches	E	Recommend to proceed with advertisement
Sutherland Boulevard	Junction with Henry Drive	E	Recommend to proceed with advertisement
Somerton Avenue	Junction with Prince Avenue Service	E	Extension of existing lines. Recommend to proceed with advertisement
Glenbervie Drive	Junctions with Grange Park Drive, Grasmear Avenue, Birchwood Drive	E	May be practical to reduce existing restrictions at junctions with Darlinghurst Grove and Recreation Avenue to compensate for parking loss. Recommend to proceed with advertisement
Richmond Avenue	Junction with Caulfield Road	E	Recommend to proceed with advertisement
Rayleigh Road near 274	Increase junction protection	Does not meet criteria	No safety reason identified from recorded accidents. Recommend no further action
High Street Shoebury	Amend limited waiting restriction to exclude Saturdays outside of Shoebury Hotel	NA	Request from business to assist with weekend customer parking Recommend proceed
Chestnut Grove	Junctions with Browning Avenue	E	Recommend to proceed with advertisement

High Street	Provide Police Vehicle bay near to new taxi rank	NA	Police request – vehicle needed in area and parking on yellow lines creates adverse publicity. Recommend to proceed with advertisement
Summercourt Road	Provide School Keep Clear Marking into dead end.	NA	School access in cul de sac with no turning facility, creating safety perception issues for pedestrians with high numbers of vehicles attempting to park/drop off close to access. Such perceptions can deter parents from walking to school. Recommend proceed
St Benets Road, Priory Avenue, and St Marys Road	Amend No Entry to No Entry except cycles	NA	Improvements to cycle networks Recommend proceed

Item referred back to Cabinet Committee

<b>Location</b>	<b>Request Details</b>	<b>Criteria Points</b>	<b>Officer comments</b>
Silverdale Avenue j/w Fairfax Drive	Reduce existing double yellow line	1 objection concerned will encourage larger vehicles delivering to shops to park	Will provide additional parking for residents  Cabinet agreed but item called into Scrutiny for review. Referred back to Cabinet for consideration. Recommended for approval.